RG104 E-1 Box 140 Jun-Jul 1886

Mint of the United States at Philadelphia,
MELTING AND REFINING DEPARTMENT,

Philadelphia, 29th June, 1886

Hon. D. M. Fox
Superintendent
Sir

In reply to the queries propounded to me by reason of the Director's letter of 28th inst., permit me to express a somewhat fuller opinion than the Director's letter seems to call for.

I think that no bullion or bars, showing brittleness or undue hardness, should be received at our Mint, that therefore they should be toughened before they are sent to us, — and for this reason, if no other, that our ignorance of the cause of the hardness (or brittleness) might lead to excess of cost in our determining and then removing the cause of brittleness (hard-

ness).

I think that the present limitation of .500 ths. is ample, and that anything suitable might be received, even as low as .200 or 300 ths., but that a charge for the separation of such should be made in some proportion to the amount below .500 ths. altho my own preference would be a graded charge for anything below .800 or .900 ths.

Very respectfully
Ja C Booth
M. & R.

I concur in the above
Jacob B. Eckfeldt
Assayer

RG104 E-1 Box 140 Jun-Jul 1886

3018

Mint of the United States at Philadelphia,

MELTING AND REFINING DEPARTMENT,

June 29. , 1886.

Jas. C. Booth.

Melter and Refiner.

Relative to changing the present limitation of 500 ℔ upon Gold Bullion at Boise Assay Office.

RECEIVED
JUN 29 1886
U.S. MINT, PHILA.

No. of Enclosures,

NARA RG104, Entry 1, Box 140

[Abstract:] Relative to changing the present limitation of .500 ths. upon Gold Bullion…

Mint of the United States at Philadelphia,
Melting and Refining Department,
Philadelphia,
June 29, 1886

Hon. D.M. Fox
Superintendent

Sir

In reply to the queries propounded to me by reason of the Director's letter of 28th Inst. permit me to express a somewhat fuller opinion than the Director's letter seems to call for.

I thank that no bullion or bars showing brittleness or undue hardness, should be received at our Mint, that therefore they should be toughened before they are sent to us, - and for this reason, if no other, that our ignorance of the cause of the hardness (or brittleness) might bad to excess of cost in our determining and then removing the cause of brittleness (hardness).

I think that the present limitation of .500 ths. Is ample, and that anything suitable might be received, even as low as .200 or 300 ths., but that a charge for the separation of such should be made in some proportion to the amount below .500 ths. altho [sic] my own preference would be a graded charge for anything below .800 or .800 ths.

Very respectfully,
Jas. C. Booth
M & R

I concur in the above.

Jacob B. Eckfeldt
Assayer